

STATE TAX COMMISSION OF MISSOURI

FARHAD KHANI)
Complainant(s),)) Appeal No. 22, 22570 and 22, 22571
v.) Appeal No. 23-32570 and 23-32571) Parcel #T113000001 & T113000002
TRAVIS WELGE, ASSESSOR, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI, Respondent.)))

DECISION AND ORDER

Farhad Khani (Complainant) appealed the classification of the subject real property stating that it should be agricultural rather than commercial as determined by Travis Welge, Assessor, St. Charles County, Missouri (Respondent). Respondent valued the property in 23-32570 at FMV of \$528,800 with Assessed Value of \$169,216 and in 23-32571 at FMV of \$138,240 with Assessed Value of \$44,237. Complainant appealed to the St. Charles County Board of Equalization (BOE). The BOE affirmed the decision of the Assessor that the property is commercial and independently determined the value of the properties to be the same as that determined by the Assessor. Complainant then appealed to the State Tax Commission (STC). Complainant claimed misclassification but

did not produce substantial and persuasive evidence establishing overvaluation.

Complainant did not appear at the hearing. Respondent was represented at the hearing by counsel, Michael Mueth.

Complainant did not produce substantial and persuasive evidence to support the asserted claim of overvaluation. The classification and assessment of Respondent is affirmed.

Facts

The evidentiary hearing was held on August 13, 2024. Respondent appeared at the evidentiary hearing and was represented by counsel. Complainant did not appear or request a continuance of the hearing.

Complainant Did Not Prove Overvaluation

The taxpayer bears the burden of proof and must show by a preponderance of the evidence that the property was overvalued. *Westwood P'ship v. Gogarty*, 103 S.W.3d 152, 161 (Mo. App. E.D. 2003). Complainant did not appear at the evidentiary hearing and produced no evidence admitted into the record to support the overvaluation claim. Complainant's failure to appear and to present any evidence necessarily means Complainant fails to meet Complainant's burden of proof. ²

¹ Complainant timely filed a complaint for review of assessment. The State Tax Commission (STC) has authority to hear and decide Complainant's appeal. Mo. Const. art. X, Section 14; section 138.430.1, RSMo 2000. All statutory citations are to RSMo 2000, as amended.

² For over 150 years, Missouri law has recognized the self-evident proposition that "if there be no evidence sufficient in law to make a prima facie case on this issue, plaintiff cannot be entitled to recover." *Callahan v. Warne*, 40 Mo. 131, 135 (Mo. 1867).

CONCLUSION AND ORDER

The assessment made by the BOE is affirmed, determining the classification of the property as commercial and valuing the property in 23-32570 at FMV of \$528,800 with Assessed Value of \$169,216 and in 23-32571 at FMV of \$138,240 with Assessed Value of \$44,237.

Application for Review

A party may file with the State Tax Commission an application for review of this decision within 30 days of the mailing date set forth in the certificate of service for this decision. The application "shall contain specific detailed grounds upon which it is claimed the decision is erroneous." Section 138.432 R.S.Mo. The application must be in writing, and may be mailed to the State Tax Commission, P.O. Box 146, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0146, or emailed to Legal@stc.mo.gov. A copy of the application must be sent to each person listed below in the certificate of service.

Failure to state specific facts or law upon which the application for review is based will result in summary denial. Section 138.432 R.S.Mo.

Disputed Taxes

The Collector of the St. Charles County, as well as the collectors of all affected political subdivisions therein, shall continue to hold the disputed taxes pending the possible filing of an application for review, unless said taxes have been disbursed pursuant to a court order under the provisions of section 139.031.

STATE TAX COMMISSION OF MISSOURI

Todd D. Wilson Senior Hearing Officer

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing has been electronically mailed and/or sent by U.S. Mail on September 27th, 2024 to:

Complainant(s) and/or Counsel for Complainant(s), the County Assessor and/or Counsel for Respondent and County Collector.

Stacy M. Ingle Legal Assistant