



STATE TAX COMMISSION OF MISSOURI

STEVEN SAEGER,	)	
	)	
Complainant(s),	)	
	)	Appeal No. 24-34004
v.	)	
	)	
ROBERT BOYER, ASSESSOR,	)	
JEFFERSON, COUNTY,	)	
MISSOURI,	)	
Respondent.	)	

DECISION AND ORDER

Steven Saeger (Complainant) appealed the valuation of the subject personal property, specifically the 2018 Chevrolet Silverado 1500 Crew Cab LTZ 4WD 5.3L V8 (2018 Silverado), determined by Robert Boyer, Assessor, Jefferson County, Missouri (Respondent). Complainant appealed to the State Tax Commission (STC) after receiving notification of the valuation from the 2024 tax bill. Respondent determined the true value in money of the subject property to be \$31,550. Complainant claimed overvaluation but did not produce substantial and persuasive evidence establishing overvaluation. Respondent presented substantial and persuasive evidence to establish the true value in

money of the subject property as of January 1, 2024.¹ Respondent was represented at the hearing by counsel, Floyd Norrick.

Subject Property.

The subject property is a 2018 Silverado.

Respondent Valuation History.

Respondent determined the trade-in value of the subject property on January 1, 2024, was \$31,550 for the 2018 Silverado. Respondent used the October, 2023 issue of the National Automobile Dealers' Association Official Used Car Guide or its successor publication pursuant to Section 137.115.9.

Complainant's Evidence.

Complainant did not attend the hearing or submit evidence.

Respondent's Evidence.

Respondent submitted the following Exhibits:

Exhibit	Description	Ruling
1	J. D. Power vehicle information from Photocopy of J.D. Power publication as of October 1, 2023 corresponding to the 2018 Silverado	Admitted

¹ Complainant timely filed a complaint for review of assessment. The State Tax Commission (STC) has authority to hear and decide Complainant's appeal. Mo. Const. art. X, Section 14; section 138.430.1, RSMo 2000. All statutory citations are to RSMo 2000, as amended.

2	J. D. Power vehicle information sheet as of October 1, 2023 corresponding to the 2018 Silverado with the Complainant's VIN	Admitted
---	--	----------

Angie Ochoa, personal property manager in the Jefferson County Assessor's office, testified that Respondent utilized the average trade-in value indicated for the 2018 Silverado and it was \$31,550. *See Exhibits 1 & 2.* Ms. Ochoa testified the average trade-in value was based on the October, 2023 issue of the National Automobile Dealers' Association Official Used Car Guide or its successor publication pursuant to Section 137.115.9 Ms. Ochoa further testified that calculating one third of the average trade-in value for the vehicles as required by law, Respondent assessed the 2018 Silverado at \$10,280, which is slightly lower than one third.

Assessment and Valuation Principles

Pursuant to Article X, Sections 4(a) and 4(b), Mo. Const. of 1945 real property and tangible personal property is assessed at its value or such percentage of its value as may be fixed by law for each class and for each subclass. Article X, Sections 4(a) and 4(b), Mo. Const. of 1945. Personal property is assessed at 33.33% of its true value in money as of January 1 of each year. Section 137.115.5. Pursuant to Section 137.115.9 "[t]he assessor of each county and each city not within a county shall use the trade-in value published in the October issue of the National Automobile Dealers' Association Official Used Car Guide, or its successor publication, as the recommended guide of information for determining the true value of the motor vehicles described in such publication. The assessor

shall not use a value that is greater than the average trade-in value in determining the true value of the vehicle without performing a physical inspection of the motor vehicle. ...”.

The hearing officer is the finder of fact and determines the credibility and weight of the evidence. *Kelly v. Mo. Dep't of Soc. Servs., Family Support Div.*, 456 S.W.3d 107, 111 (Mo. App. W.D. 2015). The hearing officer "may inquire of the owner of the property or of any other party to the appeal regarding any matter or issue relevant to the valuation, subclassification or assessment of the property." Section 138.430.2.

Complainant's Burden of Proof

The Complainant bears the burden of proving the vital elements of the case, i.e., the assessment was “unlawful, unfair, improper, arbitrary or capricious.” *Westwood Partnership*, 103 S.W.3d 152 (Mo. App. E.D. 2003); *Daly v. P.D. George Co.*, 77 S.W.3d 645 (Mo. App. E.D. 2002); *Reeves v. Snider*, 115 S.W.3d 375 (Mo. App. S.D. 2003); *Industrial Development Authority of Kansas City v. State Tax Commission of Missouri*, 804 S.W.2d 387, 392 (Mo. App. W.D. 1991). The taxpayer's evidence must be both "substantial and persuasive." *Id.* "Substantial evidence is that evidence which, if true, has probative force upon the issues, and from which the trier of fact can reasonably decide the case on the fact issues." *Savage*, 722 S.W.2d at 77 (internal quotation omitted). Evidence is persuasive when it has "sufficient weight and probative value to convince the trier of fact." *Daly v. P.D. George Co.*, 77 S.W.3d 645, 651 (Mo. App. E.D. 2002); *see also White v. Dir. of Revenue*, 321 S.W.3d 298, 305 (Mo. banc 2010) (noting the burden of persuasion is the "party's duty to convince the fact-finder to view the facts in a way that favors that party").

Complainant Did Not Prove Overvaluation.

Complainant did not prove overvaluation because they did not submit evidence to support a lower valuation of the 2018 Silverado.

The testimony of Respondent's witness, Angie Ochoa, was credible. Respondent's evidence was substantial and persuasive in determining the true value in money of the subject property on the relevant date using the method prescribed by law.

CONCLUSION AND ORDER

The true value in money of the 2018 Silverado as of January 1, 2024 was \$31,550 with an assessed value of \$10,280.

Application for Review

A party may file with the Commission an application for review of this decision within 30 days of the mailing date set forth in the certificate of service for this decision. The application "shall contain specific detailed grounds upon which it is claimed the decision is erroneous." Section 138.432. The application must be in writing, and may be mailed to the State Tax Commission, P.O. Box 146, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0146, or emailed to Legal@stc.mo.gov. A copy of the application must be sent to each person listed below in the certificate of service.

Failure to state specific facts or law upon which the application for review is based will result in summary denial. Section 138.432.

Disputed Taxes

The Collector of Jefferson County, as well as the collectors of all affected political subdivisions therein, shall continue to hold the disputed taxes pending the possible filing of an application for review, unless said taxes have been disbursed pursuant to a court order under the provisions of section 139.031.

So ordered October 27th, 2026.

STATE TAX COMMISSION OF MISSOURI

Samuel Knapper
Hearing Officer

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing has been electronically mailed and/or sent by U.S. Mail on October 30th, 2026, to:

Complainant(s) and/or Counsel for Complainant(s), the County Assessor and/or Counsel for Respondent, and County Collector.

Stacy M. Ingle
Legal Assistant